

Serial No. 10/806,618

Amdt. Dated 6/24/05

First Response in Appln, Reply to Office Action of 3/24/2005

Page 2 of 2


REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application. The Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under the judicial doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,856,478 B1. To expedite issuance of this patent, submitted herewith is a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c), executed by the attorney of record. Applicants believe that the submitted terminal disclaimer overcomes the double patenting .

CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. This application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants solicit a notice to that effect. If there are any issues that can be addressed via telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Brenda O. Holmes
Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Telephone: (404) 815-6500
Facsimile: (404) 815-6555
Our Docket: 44471/298746